

GGN: 4399902452823

Registration number of producer/ producer group (from CB):

## **GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE (GRASP)**

PROOF OF ASSESSMENT

According to

GRASP General Rules V1.3-1-i July 2020

Option 2

Issued to
Producer Group VASILEIOS SKETOS

37 Km LARISAS-THESSALONIKIS, LARISAS, 40006 PYRGETOS LARISAS, Greece

## The Annex contains details of the GRASP results (and the covered producer group members).

The Certification Body QMSCERT Audits Inspections Certifications S.A. (Q-CERT S.A.) declares that the producer group mentioned on this proof has been assessed according to the GLOBALG.A.P. Risk Assessment on Social Practice Version 1.3-1-i July 2020.

## GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE (GRASP) - PROOF OF ASSESSMENT

### GLOBALG.A.P.-certified products covered by GRASP:

Products	Assessment Number	Product Handling	Remote Assessment	Employe Interview		No. of GRASP internally assessed producers		Total number of group members
Kiwi	00141-LNNNT-0002	Yes	N/A	Yes		1		2
Total:							1	

1. Overall assessment result: Fully compliant

2. QMS result: Fully compliant

3. Assessment result in detail:

Control Point 1 Fully compliant Control Point 2 Fully compliant Control Point 3 Fully compliant Control Point 4 Fully compliant Control Point 5 Fully compliant Fully compliant Control Point 6 Control Point 7 Fully compliant Control Point 8 Fully compliant Control Point 9 Not applicable Control Point 10 Fully compliant Control Point 11 Fully compliant GGN: 4399902452823

Date of Assessment: 12-11-2024

Date of Upload: 25-11-2024

Validity: 12-11-2024 - 11-11-2025 (depending on GLOBALG.A.P. certificate validity)

The actual status of this proof is always displayed at: https://database.globalgap.org



# GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE

GRASP Checklist - Version 1.3-1-i

Checklist Producer Group (Option 2)

Valid from: July 2020

Mandatory from: October 2020



1. CERTIFICATE HOLDER REGISTRATION	ON DATA									
Producer Group GGN/GLN:*	439990245282	23		Registration N°	:		QC043387	91785		
Company name:*	VASILEIOS SK	KETOS		Address:*			37 Km LARISAS-THESSALONIKIS, PYRGETOS LARISAS, 40006			
Telephone:*	2495041411									
Email:	sketos.vas@gr	sketos.vas@gmail.com F								
Assessment date:*	12/11/2024			Contact person	.*		Manageme	ent Represen	ntative	
Previous assessment date(s):										
Does the producer group have any other extern	al audits or certit	fication covering	social practices?	? If yes, which?				'		
Standard 1:	Standard 2:			Standard 3:			Standard 4	:		
Valid to:	Valid to:			Valid to:			Valid to:			
Has the Certification Body detected any signific	ant breach of leg	al requirements	concerning labor	r conditions?				YES	<b>S</b>	NO
Has the Certification Body reported this finding	to the local/natio	nal responsible a	and competent a	uthority?				YES	$\mathbf{Z}$	NO
Comments:										
Company description: PMO with 1 central PHU GRASP too. On the day of audit, 2 workers wer of hiring labor is October - November.	that handles kiw e present at prod	is. 2 PMO memb ducers and 16 in	bers registered fo the PHU. There	or GG/GRASP (or is a GRASP PHU	ne of them also J E.R who also ı	registered for NI represents farm	M). All of the workers and	producers a was intervie	re registere wed. The p	ed for Deak season
			YEAR							
Total number of producer group members partic										
Total number of producer group members inclu	ded in the GLOB	ALG.A.P. IFA C	ertificate:							
Total number of externally assessed GRASP producer group members:										
* Mandatory field										

List the	GLOBALG	G.A.P. Numbers (G	GN) or Global Locati	on Number (GLN) o	of the externally asse	essed GRA	SP produce	er group memb	pers:		
4399902	2452823	4059883246230	4063651794717								
Are prod	duce handl	ing (PH) facilities i	ncluded in the GRAS	P assessment?	1	<b>S</b>	YES	□ NO			
	Is produ	ce handling sub-co	ontracted?				YES	<b>☑</b> NO			
	Does the	e produce handling	g facility(ies) have an	y social standards i	mplemented?		YES	<b>☑</b> NO	If yes, which?		
				If yes:	Name of th	ne PH compan	ny:	VASILEIOS SKE	TOS		
							GGN/GLN	of the PH con	npany (if applicable):	4399902452823	
Name a	nd location	of the assessed I	PH Facilities:								
PH Faci	lity 1	VASILEIOS S LARISAS, 400	KETOS, 37 Km LAR 006	SAS-THESSALON	IKIS, PYRGETOS	PH Facil	ity 4				
PH Faci	lity 2					PH Facil	ity 5				
PH Faci	lity 3					PH Facil	ity 6				
Does the	e company	subcontract any	other activities?				YES	<b>☑</b> N	10		
If yes, w	hich one?					Are the s	ubcontracte	ed activities inc	cluded in the GRASP as	ssessment?	
	Pest and rodent control					YES		10			
		Cro	p protection				YES	N	10		
		☐ Har	vest				YES		10		
		Oth	ers (please specify):	0			YES	□ N	IO		

2. STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYMENT											
Month(s) of peak season (if applicable):	October - Nove	ctober - November					% of employees living in accommodation provided by the company (if applicable):		0		
Nationalities of employees Greek, Albanians, (All of them national employees)											
Total number of employees	Local			Cross-Border Migrants			National Migrar	nts		Total	
	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	Permanent	Temporary	Agency		
in agricultural production	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
in product handling facility(ies)	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	
Total	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	

3. PRESENCE DURING THE ASSESSMENT								
	SITE MANAGEMENT		PERSON RESPONSIB IMPLEMENTATION OF		EMPLOYEES' REPRESENTATIVE			
Names1:								
Present at the opening meeting?	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO		
Present at the assessment?	✓ YES	□ NO	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO		
Present at the closing meeting?	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO	YES	□ NO		
OVERALL ASSESSMENT RESULT:	ts per sub-controlpoint)  Fully complian			ompliant				
Assessment results reviewed with company management?	<b>☑</b> YES	□ NO						
Name of certification body:	Q-CERT SA		Duration of the assessn	nent:	0,5 audit days total including GG			
Name of assessor:	qms652							
Name of company management:	Management Represer	ntative						
<sup>1</sup> Only mention the names if the persons have agreed to rele	ease there personal data to be up	loaded with the checklist to the	e GLOBALG.A.P. Database.					

#### **GRASP CHECKLIST**

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE									
			Υ	N	N/A							
EMPI	LOYEES' REPRESENTATIVE(S)											
1	CP: Is there at least one employee or an employees' council to represent the interests of the staff to the management through	gh regular meetings where labor is	ssues are	addresse	d?							
	CC: Documentation demonstrates that an employees' representative(s) or an employees' council representing the interests of the employees to the management is elected or in exceptional cases nominated by all employees and recognized by the management. The election or nomination takes place in the ongoing year or production period and is communicated to all employees. This employees' representative(s) shall be aware of his/her/their role and rights and be able to discuss complaints and suggestions with the management. Meetings between employees' representative(s) and management occur at accurate frequency. The dialogue taking place in such meetings is duly documented. If a producer group member has less than 5 employees, it is allowed to have an employees' representative at the level of the producer group.											
1.1	The election/nomination procedure has been defined and communicated to all employees.		2	0	1							
1.2	Documentation shows that the election and the counting of votes were carried out fairly and openly. In case of representative(s) not elected but nominated, there is a document justifying why elections could not take place.		2	0	1							
1.3	The results of the election (name of employees' representative(s) or in case of council composition of the council) were communicated to all employees.		2	0	1							
1.4	The election/nomination has taken place in the ongoing year or production period. The representation is current (all elected/nominated person(s) according to the list still working for the company).		2	0	1							
1.5	The employees' representative(s) is/are recognized by the management and a job description clearly defines his/her/their role and rights. The employees' representative(s) is/are aware of his/her/their role and rights (in case of an employees' council, all members are interviewed).		2	0	1							
1.6	There is documentary evidence of regular meetings at accurate frequency between the employees' representative(s) and the management, where GRASP related issues are addressed.		2	0	1							
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 1: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)												

#### Evidence/Remarks: In PHUs level:

4 workers verified that an election procedure (DGR-01.9) has been defined and communicated to them. E.R. was elected on 7/11/2024

Counting of votes carried out fairly and openly according to the interviews

E.R. has been recognised by the management and he is aware of his role and rights. Job description for E.R. has been reviewed and it complies (clearly described in DGR-01.9). Meetings between GRASP ER & Management documented in DGR – 01.7, last on 7/11/2024

#### In Producer's level:

1 producer with employees and 1 producer without employees included in the audit sample.

2 workers was interviewed and verified that the employees of producers which have less than 5 employees are represented through the E.R This is also stated in the procedure (DGR-01.9). The E.R. was elected on 7.11.2024 (documented in DGR-01.4.

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	CC	OMPLIAN	CE						
			Y	N	N/A						
COMI	PLAINT PROCEDURE										
2	CP: Is there a complaint and suggestion procedure available and implemented in the company through which employees ca	an make a complaint or suggestior	ı?								
	CC: A complaint and suggestion procedure appropriate to the size of the company exists. The employees are regularly info made without being penalized and are discussed in meetings between the employees' representative(s) and the management complaints and suggestions and take corrective actions. Complaints, suggestions and their follow-up from the last 24 months	ent. The procedure specifies a time			can be						
2.1	A documented complaint and suggestion procedure is available, appropriate to the size of the company.		2	0	1						
2.2	Employees are regularly and actively informed about the complaint and suggestion procedure.		2	0	1						
2.3	The procedure states clearly that employees will not be penalized for filing complaints or suggestions.		2	0	1						
2.4	Complaints and suggestions are discussed in meetings between the employees' representative(s) and the management.	4	2	0	1						
2.5	The procedure sets a timeframe to resolve complaints and suggestions (e.g. during the next month).		2	0	1						
2.6	The complaints, suggestions and their follow-up are documented and available for the last 24 months.		2	0	1						
COMI	COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 2: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)										
was a	vidence/Remarks: Documented procedure PGR-02 version 1/30-1-2021 Complaint procedure available to employees. Complaint form available in DGR-02.1 version 5/30-1-2021). Procedure as also communicated to all employees through training. The procedure states that the employees will not be penalised in case of complaints or suggestions. Procedure sets a timeframe of 15										

days to resolve any complaints. No complaints until the date of audit. 1 of the inspected producers is a family run businessess

٧°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	C	OMPLIAN	CE							
			Υ	N	N/A							
SELF-	DECLARATION ON GOOD SOCIAL PRACTICES											
3	CP: Has a self-declaration on good social practice regarding human rights been signed by the management and the employees?	vees´ representative(s) and has th	is been co	mmunica	ted to							
	CC: The management and the employees' representative(s) have signed, displayed and put in practice a self-declaration assuring good social practice and human rights of all employees. This declaration contains at least the commitment to the ILO core labor conventions (ILO Conventions: 111 on discrimination, 138 and 182 on minimum age and child labor, 29 and 105 on forced labor, 87 on freedom of association, 98 on the right to organize and collective bargaining, 100 on equal remuneration and 99 on minimum wage) and transparent and non-discriminative hiring procedures and the complaint procedure. The self-declaration states that the employees' representative(s) can file complaints without personal sanctions. The employees have been informed about the self-declaration and it is revised at least every 3 years or whenever necessary.											
3.1	The declaration is complete and contains at least all points referred to ILO core labor conventions.		2	0	1							
3.2	The declaration has been signed by the management and by the employees' representative(s).		2	0	1							
3.3	The declaration is actively communicated to the employees (e.g. displayed on the production site/in the handling unit/management office or attached to the working contract, information at meetings etc.).		2	0	1							
3.4	The management, the responsible person for the implementation of GRASP and the employees' representative(s) know the content of the declaration and confirm that it is put into practice.	* * *	2	0	1							
3.5	It is stated that the employees' representative(s) can file complaints without personal sanctions.		2	0	1							
3.6	The declaration is checked and revised at least every 3 years or whenever necessary.		2	0	1							
COMF	LIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 3: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	Ily compli	ant							
Evidence/Remarks: 4 employees was interviewed in the PHU and 2 in producers verified that all employees of producers are been represented through the E.RThis is also stated in the procedure (DGR-01.9). 1 Producer without employee has signed a self declaration stated that they will follow the labour legislation and GRASP requirements whenever they hire an employee. Self declaration available: (PGR-03b v5.30.01.2021 last signed on 8/11/2024 at PHU level and on 8.10.2024 on farm level ) It includes all ILO conventions as required, and it has been signed by the grower and each worker. This was verified during the interviews of E.R., grower and worker, management and GRASP responsible person, confirm they know and understand the declaration content. Declartion confirms E.R. can file complaints without personal sanctions and reviewed every 3 years.												

Code Ref. GRASP V1.3-1-i July 2020; English Version GRASP - Checklist Producer Group (Option 2) Page 12 of 23

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	CC	OMPLIAN	CE								
			Υ	N	N/A								
ACCE	SS TO NATIONAL LABOUR REGULATIONS												
4	CP: Do the person responsible for the implementation of GRASP (RGSP) and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge.	edge of or access to recent nation	al labor re	gulations	?								
	CC: The person responsible for implementation of GRASP (RGSP) and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge of minimum wages, working hours, trade union membership, anti-discrimination, child labor, labor contracts, holiday and mate representative(s) know the essential points of working conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Conditions in agriculture as formulated in the agriculture as formulated in t	rnity leave. Both the RGSP and th	the RGSP and the employees										
4.1	The RGSP provides the employees' representative(s) with the valid labor regulations (e.g. the GRASP National Interpretation Guidelines).		2	0	1								
4.2	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on gross and minimum wages and deductions from wages.		2	0	1								
4.3	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on working hours.		2	0	1								
4.4	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on freedom of association and right to collective bargaining.		2	0	1								
4.5	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on anti-discrimination.		2	0	1								
4.6	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on child labor and minimum age of working.		2	0	1								
4.7	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on holiday and maternity leave.		2	0	1								
COMI	COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 4: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)												
Fvide	nce/Remarks: Interviews with GRASP RGSP and Grasp Representative confirms they have knowledge and access to national	regualtion about minimum wages	working	hours, free	edom of								

Evidence/Remarks: Interviews with GRASP RGSP and Grasp Representative confirms they have knowledge and access to national regualtion about minimum wages, working hours, freedom of association, antidiscrimination, child labour and minimum age of working, holiday and maternity leave.(AN 1846 -1951, N 2874, N 2639-1998, ΠΔ 88-199, ΠΔ 164-1984, N. 1767-1988, N.Δ. 4204-1961). Growers' workers can have access to the legislation through the Grasp Representative. 1 of the inspected producers are family run businessess.

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE				
			Υ	N	N/A		
WOR	KING CONTRACTS						
5	CP: Can valid copies of working contracts be shown for the employees? Are the working contracts compliant with applicable they indicate at least full names, nationality, a job description, date of birth, date of entry, the regular working time, wage and the employee and the employer?						
	CC: For every employee, a contract can be shown to the assessor on request on a sample basis. The contracts correspond agreements. Both the employees as well as the employer have signed them. Records contain at least full names, nationality working time, wage and the period of employment (e.g. permanent, period or day laborer etc.) and for non-national employee not show contradiction to the self-declaration on good social practices. Records of the employees must be accessible for at	v, a job description, date of birth, d es their legal status and working p	ate of ent	ry, the reg	gular		
5.1	Random checks show availability of written contracts for all employees signed by both parties.		2	0	1		
5.2	There is evidence that the employees have the correct contract according to national legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements (as stipulated in the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).		2	0	1		
5.3	The working contracts include at least basic information on the employee's name, date of birth and nationality according to the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guideline.		2	0	1		
5.4	The working contracts or attachments to the contracts include basic information on the contract period (e.g. permanent, period or day laborer etc.), the wage, working hours, breaks, and a basic job description.		2	0	1		
5.5	In the contract, there is no contradiction to the self-declaration on good social practice.		2	0	1		
5.6	If non-national employees are working for the company, records indicate their legal status for being employed by the company. A respective working permit is available.		2	0	1		
5.7	Records of the employees must be accessible for at least 24 months.		2	0	1		
СОМІ	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 5: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	illy compli	ant		
produ	nce/Remarks: Working contracts have been randomly sampled across all types of contracts and roles (2 in PHU - 1 in farms). Sper, and comply to the national regulation. Working contracts include the date of birth and nationality of the employees. Contracts of 30 minutes) and a basic job description. 1 of the inspected producer is family run businessess.						
	ctive Actions:						

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	C	OMPLIAN	CE						
			Υ	N	N/A						
PAYS	SLIPS										
6	CP: Is there documented evidence indicating regular payment of salaries corresponding to the contract clause?										
	CC: The employer shows adequate documentation of the regular salary transfer (e.g. employee's signature on pay slip, bank transfer). Employees sign or receive copies of pay slips/pay register that make the payment transparent and comprehensible for them. Regular payment of the employees during the last 24 months is documented.										
6.1	Documented evidence that the payment is made in defined intervals (e.g. pay slips or pay registers) is available for the employees (random checks).		2	0	1						
6.2	Pay slips or pay registers indicate that payments are made in accordance with the working contracts (e.g. employee's signature on pay slips, bank transfer etc.).		2	0	1						
6.3	The records of payments are kept for at least 24 months.		2	0	1						
СОМ	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 6: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fully compliant								
the en Samp worke	Evidence/Remarks Payslips that was sampled in the producers (1) and in PHU (2), represent the type of employment (temporary in farms / permanent in PHU) and migratory status (National) of the employees who are present on the day.  Sampled payslips are signed and accepted by the employees, payments were made in defined intervals. Records of payments (Ergosimo bank transfer/farm workers and bank transfers/PHU workers) have been cross-checked with payslips and contracts, and confirm that the payment is made according to the contracts, as per the reference on the GRASP sampling form. 1 of the										

inspected growers is a family run businesses.

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	C	OMPLIAN	CE						
			Υ	N	N/A						
WAG	ES										
7	CP: Do pay slips/pay registers indicate the conformity of payment with at least legal regulations and/or collective bargaining	agreements?									
	CC: Wages and overtime payment documented on the pay slips/pay registers indicate compliance with legal regulations (minimum wages) and/or collective bargaining agreements as specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline. If payment is calculated per unit, employees shall be able to gain at least the legal minimum wage (on average) within regular working hours.										
7.1	Pay slips or pay registers give clear indication on the number of compensated working time or harvested amount including overtime (hours/days).		2	0	1						
7.2	Wages and overtime payments as shown in the records are according to the contracts and indicate compliance with national labor regulations (minimum wages), and/or collective bargaining agreements as specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline.		2	0	1						
7.3	Independently from the calculation unit, pay slips/pay registers document that employees gain in average at least the legal minimum wage within regular working times (especially check when piece-rate is implemented). If there are deductions from salaries and employees are being paid below minimum wage, the deductions must be justified in writing.		2	0	1						
COM	Fully compliant										
Evide	idence/Remarks: Payslips that was sampled in the producers (1) and in PHU (2), represent the type of employment (temporary in farms / permanent in PHU) and migratory status (National) of										

Evidence/Remarks: Payslips that was sampled in the producers (1) and in PHU (2), represent the type of employment (temporary in farms / permanent in PHU) and migratory status (National) of the employees who are present on the day.

Sampled pays slip give a clear indication of the number of compensated working time and document that employees are gain in average at least the minimum wage. This was verified during the interview of the employee that was available and interviewed in the producers) on the day of the audit. Wages (and overtime where applicable) as shown in the records. 1 of the inspected growers is a family run businesses.

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA VERIFICATION		COMPLIANCE			
			Υ	N	N/A	
NON-E	NON-EMPLOYMENT OF MINORS					
8	CP: Do records indicate that no minors are employed at the company?					
	CC: Records indicate compliance with national legislation regarding minimum age of employment. If not covered by national legislation, children below the age of 15 are not employed. If children—as core family members—are working at the company, they are not engaged in work that is dangerous to their health and safety, jeopardizes their development, or prevents them from finishing their compulsory school education.					
8.1	Dates of birth on the records show that no employee is aged below the legal minimum age of employment or, if not specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline, under the age of 15.		3	0	0	
8.2	If children – as core family members – are working at the company, they are not engaged in work that is dangerous to their health and safety (according to the applicable IFA All Farm Base Module), that jeopardizes their development or prevents them from finishing their compulsory school education.		0	0	3	
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 8: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)			Fully compliant			
Evidence/Remarks: Employees' interviews, management interview and sampled records show that no minors are employeed. No children -as core family members- are working at the company						
Corrective Actions:						

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		CE	
			Y	N	N/A	
ACCE	ACCESS TO COMPULSORY SCHOOL EDUCATION					
9	CP: Do the children of employees living on the company's production/handling sites have access to compulsory school education?					
	CC: There is documented evidence that children of employees at compulsory schooling age (according to national legislation) living on the company's production/handling sites have access to compulsory school education, either through provided transport to a public school or through on-site schooling.			nave		
9.1	There is a list of all children in the age of compulsory schooling age living on the company's production/handling sites, with sufficient indications on name, name of parents, date of birth, school attendance, etc. Children of management may be excluded.				5	
9.2	There is evidence of transport facilities if children cannot reach school within acceptable walking distance (half an hour walking or according to GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).		0	0	3	
9.3	There is evidence of an on-site schooling system when access to schools is not available.				3	
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 9: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)			Not applicable			
Evidence/Remarks: No employees live in company's or producer sites						
Corrective Actions:						

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	CO	OMPLIAN	CE	
	SONTINGET SHAT & SONT ENANGE STATEMIN	VERMION	Y	N	N/A	
TIME	TIME RECORDING SYSTEM					
10	CP: Is there a time recording system that shows daily working time and overtime on a daily basis for the employees?					
	CC: There is a time recording system implemented appropriate to the size of the company that makes working hours and overtime transparent for both employees and employer on a daily basis. Working times of the employees during the last 24 months are documented. Records are regularly approved by the employees and accessible for the employees' representative(s).					
10.1	A time recording system is implemented, appropriate to the size of the company (e.g. time record sheet, check clock, electronic cards, etc.).		2	0	1	
10.2	The records indicate the regular working time for employees on a daily basis.		2	0	1	
10.3	The records indicate the overtime hours as defined by contracts per legislation for all employees on a daily basis.		2	0	1	
10.4	The records indicate the breaks/festive days for the employees (on a daily basis).		2	0	1	
10.5	The working records are regularly approved by the employees (e.g. regularly signed record sheet, checking clock).		2	0	1	
10.6	Access to these records is provided to the employees' representative(s).		2	0	1	
10.7	The records are kept for at least 24 months.		2	0	1	
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 10: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)			Fu	Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: A time recording system is implemented as per records assessed. Time recording system is documented in PRG-08.1 form. Working times are recorded on a daily basis. Overtime hours are clearly indicated if exist. Breaks and festive days are referenced. Working records are approved by the employees and this were verified during the interview the employee present on the day of the audit. Access to the time recording system is provided to the E.R.						

N° CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA		VERIFICATION	COMPLIA		IANCE	
			Υ	N	N/A	
WORI	WORKING HOURS & BREAKS					
11	CP: Do working hours and breaks documented in the time records comply with applicable legislation and/or collective barga	nining agreements?				
	CC: Documented working hours, breaks and rest days are in line with applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements. If not regulated more strictly by legislation, records indicate that regular weekly working hours do not exceed a maximum of 48 hours. During peak season (harvest), weekly working time does not exceed a maximum of 60 hours. Rest breaks/days are also guaranteed during peak season.					
11.1	Information on valid labor regulation and/or collective bargaining agreements regarding working hours and breaks is available (e.g. in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).		2	0	1	
11.2	Working hours including overtime as shown in the records indicate compliance with legal regulations and/or collective bargaining agreements.		2	0	1	
11.3	Rest breaks/days as shown in the records indicate compliance with national regulations and/or bargaining agreements.		2	0	1	
11.4	If not regulated more strictly by applicable legislation, regular weekly working time does not exceed 48 hours. During peak season (harvest), weekly working time does not exceed 60 hours.	ours. During peak		0	1	
11.5	The records indicate that rest breaks/days are also guaranteed during peak season.		2	0	1	
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 11: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)			Fully compliant			
Evidence/Remarks: Working hours as per sampled records, indicate compliance with national regulation. Sampling of records (according to GRASP rules) prooves that weekly working time does not exceed 40 hours as per the national applicable regulation and this were verified during the interview of the employee which was interviewed in the producers and the E.R on the day of the						

audit.

## ONLY APPLICABLE FOR PRODUCER GROUPS

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE					
			Υ	N	N/A			
INTEGE	INTEGRATION INTO QMS							
QMS	CP: Does the assessment of the Quality Management System (QMS) of the producer group show evidence of the correct in members?	nplementation of GRASP for all pa	rticipating	producer	group			
	CC: The assessment of the Quality Management System of the producer group demonstrates that GRASP is correctly imple identified and corrective actions are taken to enable compliance of all participating producer group members.	emented and internally assessed. I	Non-comp	liances a	re			
QMS1	The implementation of GRASP is included in the Quality Management System of the producer group, based on the respective part of the GLOBALG.A.P. General Regulations for Producer Group Certification.							
QMS2	There is a system in place to regularly inform and train key staff on GRASP related issues.		Х					
QMS3	All steps taken in the frame of the QMS to implement GRASP among all participating producer group members are documented.		Х					
QMS4	There is evidence that the producer group fosters compliance of all participating producer group members with the GRASP requirements and assesses the progresses and problems complying with GRASP every year.		Х					
QMS5	A register is maintained of all GLOBALG.A.P. producers implementing GRASP. It contains for every producer group member the internal assessment date as well as the compliance level reached, all non-compliances detected in internal and external assessments and corrective actions given to non-compliances.		х					
QMS6	There is a procedure to implement corrective actions from previous internal assessments.		Х					
QMS7	The internal producer group inspector is qualified according to the GRASP General Rules.		Х					
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT QMS: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)  Fully compliant.  Not compliant.								
Evidence/Remarks: GRASP implementation is done through the Quality Management System of the producers group and it is based upon the relative procedures and parts of the GlobalG.A.P. General Regulations. The internal trainer (external consultant as per reference in the GRASP sampling record form) is responsible for the training of the Key staff related to GRASP that was conducted on 8/11/2024 (recorded in DGR-09.1 & DGR-09.2). According to the Management Review, all growers are included in the GRASP add on. All producers have been included in the internal audit procedure for GRASP add on. Internal audit register PRDGII03.3 available. Procedure for corrective actions is available PGR-10. The PG's internal inspector (external consultant as per reference in the GRASP sampling record form) is qualified according to the GRASP General Rules.								
Corrective Actions:								

## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR GOOD PRACTICE

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA		
ADDIT	TONAL SOCIAL BENEFITS		
R1	What other forms of social benefit does the company offer to employees, their families and/or the community? Please specify (incentives for good and safe working performance, bonus payment, support of professional development, social benefits, child care, improvement of social surroundings etc.).		
Evidence/Remarks: No other benefits			

## GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE (GRASP) - PROOF OF ASSESSMENT

## **ANNEX for GGN 4399902452823**

## **Producer Group Members:**

Product(s)	GLOBALG.A.P. Number (GGN)	Company/Producer Name and Address
Kiwi	4063651794717	SPANOS PANAGIOTIS, P.O. BOX 1, VRONTOU PIERIAS, 60100, Greece